MIT Press WINTER 1992

- CESARE CASARINO is a graduate student in the Literature and on nineteenth- and twentieth-century British and American literature. Program at Duke University. He has written on cinema
- GILLES DELEUZE is retired from the Université de Paris English translations of both are forthcoming from Qu'est-ce que la philosophie? (Paris: Editions Minuit, 1991). Minuit, 1990) and, in collaboration with Félix Guattari, VIII. His recent books are Pourparlers (Paris: Editions Columbia University Press.
- STEVEN KASHER is an artist and writer living in New York DAVID JOSELIT was a curator at The Institute of doctoral candidate in Fine Arts at Harvard University. written widely on contemporary art. He is currently a Contemporary Art in Boston for several years and has

City. He is a member of the Triage Project, a

ANTONIA LANT is Assistant Professor in the Department of documentary collective. Blackout: Reinventing Women for Wartime British Cinema Cinema Studies at New York University and author of (Princeton University Press, 1991).

Postscript on the Societies of Control*

NOTICE: THIS MATERIAL MAY BE PROTECTED BY COPYRIGHT LAW (TITLE 17U.S. CODE)

GILLES DELEUZE

1. Historica

heroine of Rossellini's Europa '51 could exclaim, "I thought I was seeing conthe prison that serves as the analogical model: at the sight of some laborers, the possibly the prison, the preeminent instance of the enclosed environment. It's ("you are no longer at school"); then the factory; from time to time the hospital family; then the school ("you are no longer in your family"); then the barracks from one closed environment to another, each having its own laws: first, the the organization of vast spaces of enclosure. The individual never ceases passing centuries; they reach their height at the outset of the twentieth. They initiate Foucault located the disciplinary societies in the eighteenth and nineteenth

War II: a disciplinary society was what we already no longer were, what we had new forces that were gradually instituted and which accelerated after World and Napoleon seemed to effect the large-scale conversion from one society to on death rather than to administer life); the transition took place over time, something quite different (to tax rather than to organize production, to rule the other. But in their turn the disciplines underwent a crisis to the benefit of succeeded that of the societies of sovereignty, the goal and functions of which were of space-time whose effect will be greater than the sum of its component forces in space; to order in time; to compose a productive force within the dimension of enclosure, particularly visible within the factory: to concentrate; to distribute But what Foucault recognized as well was the transience of this model; it Foucault has brilliantly analyzed the ideal project of these environments

We are in a generalized crisis in relation to all the environments of

This essay, which first appeared in L'Autre journal, no. I (May 1990), is included in the
forthcoming translation of Pourparlers (Paris: Editions Minuit, 1990), to be published by Columbia
University Press.

4

Postscript on the Societies of Control

enclosure -- prison, hospital, factory, school, family. The family is an "interior," in crisis like all other interiors—scholarly, professional, etc. The administrations schools, to reform industries, hospitals, the armed forces, prisons. But everyone in charge never cease announcing supposedly necessary reforms: to reform are the societies of control, which are in the process of replacing the disciplinary employed until the installation of the new forces knocking at the door. These periods. It's only a matter of administering their last rites and of keeping people knows that these institutions are finished, whatever the length of their expiration is no need here to invoke the extraordinary pharmaceutical productions, the is continually analyzing the ultrarapid forms of free-floating control that remonster, one that Foucault recognizes as our immediate future. Paul Virilio also societies. "Control" is the name Burroughs proposes as a term for the new molecular engineering, the genetic manipulations, although these are slated to placed the old disciplines operating in the time frame of a closed system. There enter into the new process. There is no need to ask which is the toughest or most tolerable regime, for it's within each of them that liberating and enslaving control that are equal to the harshest of confinements. There is no need to fear first express new freedom, but they could participate as well in mechanisms of ronment of enclosure, neighborhood clinics, hospices, and day care could at forces confront one another. For example, in the crisis of the hospital as envior hope, but only to look for new weapons.

dividual passes are independent variables: each time one is supposed to start analogical. On the other hand, the different control mechanisms are inseparable variations, forming a system of variable geometry the language of which is from zero, and although a common language for all these places exists, it is castings, but controls are a modulation, like a self-deforming cast that will connumerical (which doesn't necessarily mean binary). Enclosures are molds, distinct tinuously change from one moment to the other, or like a sieve whose mesh The different internments or spaces of enclosure through which the in-

contained its internal forces at a level of equilibrium, the highest possible in will transmute from point to point. but the corporation works more deeply to impose a modulation of each salary. a gas. Of course the factory was already familiar with the system of bonuses, control, the corporation has replaced the factory, and the corporation is a spirit, terms of production, the lowest possible in terms of wages; but in a society of in states of perpetual metastability that operate through challenges, contests, and highly comic group sessions. If the most idiotic television game shows are cision. The factory constituted individuals as a single body to the double advanso successful, it's because they express the corporate situation with great pre-This is obvious in the matter of salaries: the factory was a body that

> each within. The modulating principle of "salary according to merit" has not over to the corporation. to replace the examination. Which is the surest way of delivering the school the factory, perpetual training tends to replace the school, and continuous control that opposes individuals against one another and runs through each, dividing brashest rivalry as a healthy form of emulation, an excellent motivational force who mobilized a mass resistance; but the corporation constantly presents the tage of the boss who surveyed each element within the mass and the unions failed to tempt national education itself. Indeed, just as the corporation replaces

(as much from the point of view of integration as from that of resistance). The numerical language of control is made of codes that mark access to information, while on the other hand the disciplinary societies are regulated by watchwords no longer either a signature or a number, but a code: the code is a password of its animals-but civil power moves in turn and by other means to make itself of this double charge in the pastoral power of the priest—the flock and each molds the individuality of each member of that body. (Foucault saw the origin gether, that is, constitutes those over whom it exercises power into a body and these two, and because at the same time power individualizes and masses toa mass. This is because the disciplines never saw any incompatibility between number or administrative numeration that indicates his or her position within societies have two poles: the signature that designates the individual, and the because we are leaving one in order to enter into the other. The disciplinary different modes of juridical life, and if our law is hesitant, itself in crisis, it's of the disciplinary societies (between two incarcerations); and the limitless postmodulation, like a universal system of deformation. In The Trial, Kafka, who one is never finished with anything—the corporation, the educational system. lay "priest.") In the societies of control, on the other hand, what is important is ponements of the societies of control (in continuous variation) are two very had already placed himself at the pivotal point between two types of social the armed services being metastable states coexisting in one and the same the barracks, from the barracks to the factory), while in the societies of control Individuals have become "dividuals," and masses, samples, data, markets, or formation, described the most fearsome of juridical forms. The apparent acquittal nodulated according to a rate established by a set of standard currencies. The gold in as numerical standard, while control relates to floating rates of exchange ocieties best, since discipline always referred back to minted money that locks 'banks." Perhaps it is money that expresses the distinction between the two or reject it. We no longer find ourselves dealing with the mass/individual pair. our manner of living and in our relations with others. The disciplinary man rom the mole to the serpent, in the system under which we live, but also in hat of the societies of control. We have passed from one animal to the other, old monetary mole is the animal of the spaces of enclosure, but the serpent is In the disciplinary societies one was always starting again (from school to

was a discontinuous producer of energy, but the man of control is undulatory, in orbit, in a continuous network. Everywhere surfing has already replaced the older starts

turnover, but also continuous and without limit, while discipline was of long operation of markets is now the instrument of social control and forms the corporations have a soul, which is the most terrifying news in the world. The has become the center or the "soul" of the corporation. We are taught that specialization of production. Corruption thereby gains a new power. Marketing more than by lowering costs, by transformation of the product more than by the open circuits of the bank. The conquests of the market are made by grabbing stockholders. Even art has left the spaces of enclosure in order to enter into deformable and transformable—of a single corporation that now has only converge towards an owner-state or private power-but coded figuresschool, the army, the factory are no longer the distinct analogical spaces that for the product, which is to say, for being sold or marketed. Thus it is essentially dispersive, and the factory has given way to the corporation. The family, the the finished products or assembles parts. What it wants to sell is services and often relegates to the Third World, even for the complex forms of textiles, the present situation, capitalism is no longer involved in production, which it times by colonization, sometimes by lowering the costs of production. But, in school). As for markets, they are conquered sometimes by specialization, some of other spaces conceived through analogy (the worker's familial house, the century capitalism is a capitalism of concentration, for production and for well-known or familiar mutation that can be summed up as follows: nineteenth evolution must be, even more profoundly, a mutation of capitalism, an already whose active one is piracy and the introduction of viruses. This technological with machines of a third type, computers, whose passive danger is jamming and of entropy and the active danger of sabotage; the societies of control operate equipped themselves with machines involving energy, with the passive danger of simple machines—levers, pulleys, clocks; but the recent disciplinary societies of generating them and using them. The old societies of sovereignty made use machines are determining, but because they express those social forms capable in debt. It is true that capitalism has retained as a constant the extreme poverty duration, infinite and discontinuous. Man is no longer man enclosed, but man impudent breed of our masters. Control is short-term and of rapid rates of what it wants to buy is stocks. This is no longer a capitalism for production but no longer buys raw materials and no longer sells the finished products: it buys metallurgy, or oil production. It's a capitalism of higher-order production. It property. It therefore erects the factory as a space of enclosure, the capitalist of three quarters of humanity, too poor for debt, too numerous for confinement being the owner of the means of production but also, progressively, the owner Types of machines are easily matched with each type of society—not tha

control will not only have to deal with erosions of frontiers but with the explosions within shanty towns or ghettos.

3. Program

The conception of a control mechanism, giving the position of any element within an open environment at any given instant (whether animal in a reserve or human in a corporation, as with an electronic collar), is not necessarily one of science fiction. Félix Guattari has imagined a city where one would be able to leave one's apartment, one's street, one's neighborhood, thanks to one's (dividual) electronic card that raises a given barrier; but the card could just as easily be rejected on a given day or between certain hours; what counts is not the barrier but the computer that tracks each person's position—licit or illicit—and effects a universal modulation.

discover what they're being made to serve, just as their elders discovered, not more complex than the burrows of a molehill without difficulty, the telos of the disciplines. The coils of a serpent are even they re-request apprenticeships and permanent training. It's up to them to unions: tied to the whole of their history of struggle against the disciplines or within the spaces of enclosure, will they be able to adapt themselves or will they the joys of marketing? Many young people strangely boast of being "motivated"; already grasp the rough outlines of these coming forms, capable of threatening give way to new forms of resistance against the societies of control? Can we nation. One of the most important questions will concern the ineptitude of the is to say, the progressive and dispersed installation of a new system of dominew ways of handling money, profits, and humans that no longer pass through the old factory form. These are very small examples, but ones that will allow for better understanding of what is meant by the crisis of the institutions, which to individuation—as they say—but substitutes for the individual or numerical body the code of a "dividual" material to be controlled. In the corporate system: that singles out potential sick people and subjects at risk, which in no way attests of schooling. For the hospital system: the new medicine "without doctor or patient" and the effect on the school of perpetual training, the corresponding abandonment of all university research, the introduction of the "corporation" at all levels at home during certain hours. For the school system: continuous forms of control, crimes, and the use of electronic collars that force the convicted person to stay prion system: the attempt to find penalties of "substitution," at least for petty modifications. What counts is that we are at the beginning of something. In the everywhere proclaimed. It may be that older methods, borrowed from the former societies of sovereignty, will return to the fore, but with the necessary the process of substitution for the disciplinary sites of enclosure, whose crisis is their inception, would have to be categorical and to describe what is already in The socio-technological study of the mechanisms of control, grasped at